

CORRECTED
VERSION*

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : C12N 15/85, 15/00	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 99/40213 (43) International Publication Date: 12 August 1999 (12.08.99)
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US99/02868</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 9 February 1999 (09.02.99)</p> <p>(30) Priority Data: 60/073,386 9 February 1998 (09.02.98) US</p> <p>(71) Applicant: TRANXENOGEN, INCORPORATED [US/US]; Fuller Building, 222 Maple Avenue, Shrewsbury, MA 01545 (US).</p> <p>(72) Inventors: DITULLIO, Paul, A.; 42 Juniper Brook Road, Northboro, MA 01532 (US). EBERT, Karl, M.; 83 Elmwood Street, Millbury, MA 01527 (US).</p> <p>(74) Agent: BEATTIE, Ingrid, A.; Fish & Richardson P.C., 225 Franklin Street, Boston, MA 02110-2804 (US).</p>		<p>(81) Designated States: AU, CA, NZ, European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).</p> <p>Published <i>With international search report.</i></p>
<p>(54) Title: GENETIC MANIPULATION OF SPERMATOGONIA</p> <p>(57) Abstract</p> <p>The invention features a method of delivering DNA to a spermatogonium by infusing DNA <i>in situ</i> into a testicle of a non-human animal and administering a condition or substance to the testicle to increase uptake of DNA by the spermatogonium.</p>		

*(Referred to in PCT Gazette No. 42/1999, Section II)

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece			TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	NZ	New Zealand		
CM	Cameroon			PL	Poland		
CN	China	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	Cuba	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

- 1 -

GENETIC MANIPULATION OF SPERMATOGONIACross Reference To Related Applications

5 This application claims priority from provisional patent application serial number 60/073,386, filed on February 9, 1998, the contents of which are hereby incorporated by reference.

Background of the Invention

10 The invention relates to the production of transgenic animals.

 The field of transgenics has grown rapidly since the initial experiments describing the introduction of foreign DNA into the developing zygote or embryo
15 (Brinster, R.L. et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82:4438-4442 (1985), Wagner et al., U.S. 4,873,191 (1989)). Transgenic technology has been applied to both laboratory and domestic species for the study of human diseases (see, e.g., Synder, B.W., et al., Mol. Reprod.
20 and Develop. 40:419-428 (1995)), production of pharmaceuticals in milk (see, for review article, Ebert, K.M. and J.P. Selgrath, "Changes in Domestic Livestock through Genetic Engineering" in *Applications in Mammalian Development*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 1991.),
25 develop improved agricultural stock (see, e.g., Ebert, K.M. et al., *Animal Biotechnology* 1:145-159 (1990)) and xenotransplantation (see, e.g., Osman, N., et al., Proc. natl. Acad. Sci USA 94:14677-14682 (1997)). However, the technique is limiting in that it only allows for the
30 addition of genetic material to the developing embryo and not the deletion or modification of the endogenous genes. In addition, the microinjection of DNA into the nucleus is an inefficient process resulting in only 1-2% transgenic offspring from embryos injected and frequently
35 producing mosaic animals which do not have the transgene in all cells.

- 2 -

Summary of the Invention

The invention features a method of delivering DNA to a spermatogonium by infusing DNA *in situ* into a testicle of a non-human animal and administering a
5 condition or substance to the testicle to increase uptake of DNA by the spermatogonium. By "in situ" is meant in the original location in the body of the animal. Surgical exposure of the testis is not required. For example, a needle is inserted directly into testicular
10 tissue and DNA delivered using a syringe. In some cases, the epididymis is surgically exposed at the head, and the DNA is delivered to the testes via a retrograde flush through the rete testes into the seminiferous tubules.

By "spermatogonium" is meant an unspecialized
15 diploid germ cell which can undergo mitosis and meiosis to give rise to a sperm cell. For example, a spermatogonium is a primordial germ cell which can differentiate into a sperm cell. Preferably, the spermatogonium is located on the walls of the basal
20 membrane of the seminiferous tubule. To increase uptake of DNA by a spermatogonium, the testicle is exposed to a condition such as passage of an electrical current through the testicle. The electrical current is applied using a defibrillator or electroejaculator. Application
25 of an electrical current is a means to electroporate the DNA into the target cells, i.e., spermatogonia. DNA uptake may also be enhanced by administering a substance such as a lipid or phospholipid. The condition or substance is administered to testicle either
30 simultaneously with the infusion of DNA or after the DNA has been infused. DNA is administered as naked DNA or by viral infection, e.g., packaged into a viral vector such as adenovirus, adeno-associated virus. By "naked" is meant free from any delivery vehicle that facilitates
35 entry into the cell. For example, a naked DNA

- 3 -

preparation is free of viral proteins or particles, DEAE-dextran, phospholipids, lipids, or calcium phosphate. The DNA contains a sequence encoding a selectable marker such as DNA encoding an antibiotic resistance gene, a
5 cell surface antigen, or thymidine kinase. Following administration of the DNA, transformed or transfected cells are selected by administering an antibiotic, antibody-toxin complex, or chemical agent either systemically or locally to the testes to kill cells which
10 do not express the DNA or to identify cells which express the DNA. The terms "transform" and "transfect" are used interchangeably throughout the specification; these terms refer to means of transferring or delivering DNA to a cell. A "transfected" or "transformed" cell is one that
15 contains the DNA sought to be delivered to it. The DNA may also contain a second promoter which directs expression of an apoptotic gene to selectively kill germ cells which have not undergone homologous recombination with the administered DNA. The DNA is administered in a
20 volume of solution sufficient to infuse the entire testicle, e.g., 0.1 ml per testicle/per treatment for a newborn animal and up to 5 ml per testicle/per treatment for an adult animal. Repeated treatments may be carried out if desired, e.g., to increase DNA uptake.

25 Preferably, the non-human animal is a sheep, goat, pig, cow, chicken, rabbit, rat, mouse, or guinea pig. More preferably, the animal is prepubetal, e.g., at an age at which the testicle has not yet begun to produce sperm. For example, the preferred age of a pig is at
30 least 30 days but not greater than 100 days. At this age, the number of target cells, i.e., spermatogonia, is relatively low. An advantage of this approach is that destruction of spermatogenic cells prior to administration of DNA is not required.

- 4 -

Also within the invention is a method of making a non-human transgenic animal comprising by infusing DNA *in situ* into a testicle of a prepubetal non-human animal, harvesting sperm cells from the animal, contacting an ovum with said the cells under conditions suitable for fertilization, and producing a non-human transgenic animal. By "transgenic non-human animal" is meant an animal that has gained (or lost) a DNA sequence from the introduction of an exogenous DNA sequence, i.e., transgene, into its own cells, or into an ancestor's germ line. Such animals are produced by natural breeding, artificial insemination, or *in vitro* sperm injection into ova. By the term "transgene" is meant any exogenous DNA sequence which is introduced into both the somatic and germ cells or only some of the somatic cells of a mammal. The transgene may or may not be an integral part of a chromosome. If the transgene is integrated into a chromosome, it may or may not be located at the same site as its corresponding endogenous gene sequence.

The transgene is preferably driven by a tissue specific promoter. For example, for protein expression in mammary glands, the human lactoferrin promoter is operably linked to DNA encoding a desired protein or polypeptide. Other promoters which direct preferential expression of a polypeptide in mammary gland tissue include the casein promoters (e.g., goat beta casein promoter and alpha S1 casein promoter) and whey protein promoters (e.g., whey acid protein promoter, beta lactoglobulin promoter, and alpha lactalbumin promoter). The promoter is inducible or constitutive.

Organs from transgenic animals produced according to the invention are useful for xenotransplantation. For example, the transgenic non-human animal the organs of which are suitable for transplantation into human recipients expresses human CD59 and/or lacks expression

- 5 -

of porcine CD59 (a CD59 "knockout" pig) or the animal expresses human H-transferase and/or lacks porcine Gal(α 1,3) galactosyl transferase.

The methods of the invention solve many ongoing
5 problems in DNA delivery for the generation of transgenic animals. For example, an adenovirus genome can only carry a transgene of limited size. The use of sperm does not limit the transgene size. For example, DNA as small as a few base pairs (bp) and as large as 100-200
10 kilobases (kb) are delivered using the methods of the invention. Typically, approximately 5 kb, 10 kb, 20 kb or 25 kb are delivered to target cells. However, up to 400 kb may be transferred to cells. For example, more than one vector or DNA fragment is transfected
15 simultaneously to allow for the production of multimeric proteins (i.e., immunoglobulins, FAb fragments, fibrinogen, and collagen) or expression of more than one protein coding sequence.

Other features and advantages of the invention
20 will be apparent from the following description of the preferred embodiments thereof, and from the claims.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Fig. 1A is a diagram of a cross-section of a seminiferous tubule in a mammalian testes.

25 Fig. 1B is a diagram showing the organization of different cell types within the seminiferous tubules and the respective cell types.

Fig. 2 is a diagram showing the stages of spermatogenesis.

Detailed Description

30 Transgenic animals made using the methods described herein are used for xenotransplantation, pharmaceutical production, protein production, and the study of human diseases.

- 6 -

The efficiency and reliability of the production of the transgenic animals is enhanced by the claimed methods which involve direct transfection of the stem/progenitor cells of the male testes with DNA.

5 Following DNA delivery, semen is collected, tested for the presence of the transgene, and any animals with the transgene present in their sperm are bred to females to produce transgenic offspring. This invention has several advantages over the conventional microinjection approach
10 in that a single experiment could produce multiple transgenic lines, no mosaic animals would be generated, the anesthetic and surgical procedures are less complex and invasive, and the need for multiple surgeries is eliminated.

15 For example, the method is carried out as follows. A male animal is anesthetized with a suitable anesthetic to achieve a 30-60 minute time period to complete the procedure (suitable anesthetics are known in the art, e.g., goats, valium/ketamine; rabbits,
20 acepromazine/rompun; pigs, telazol/rompun). The optimum age for the male is prepubertal to reduce the number of target cells (e.g., stem/progenitor cells) to be transfected. However, the procedure is also useful for DNA delivery to spermatogonia of adult or mature animals.
25 Once the animal has been anesthetized, DNA is introduced into the testes using a small gauge needle. The volume of DNA and concentration varies depending on the size of the testes and the efficiency of transfection. The transfection of the DNA is achieved using a variety of
30 standard techniques and DNA formulations. For example, the DNA is infused in complex with lipids, other compounds known to enhance transfection, or uncomplexed (i.e., naked). If DNA is infused in sterile distilled water, it may be electroporated into the cells in vivo by
35 passing a current across the testes using an

- 7 -

electroejaculator, heart defibrillator, or any suitable source of electrical current. After the animal has recovered, semen is collected and analyzed for the presence of the transgene. Once the transgene has been detected, the male will be bred to females to produce transgenic offspring. The time between infusion of the DNA and breeding varies depending on such factors as the age of the animal at infusion, age of sexual maturity, and the time required for differentiation from stem/progenitor cell to sperm cell.

Direct delivery of DNA to sperm cells/spermatogonia

The invention described herein is a method for producing a non-human transgenic animal by genetically manipulating the stem cells/spermatogonia of the male testes *in vivo*. The testes are composed of a series of tightly coiled tubes, termed seminiferous tubules. Testes are derived from two cell types; cells of the mesonephros region form the structural cells and the primordial germ cells give rise to the spermatogonia (Figs. 1A and B). The seminiferous tubules contain the stem cells (spermatogonia) which when properly stimulated will undergo mitosis and meiosis to form mature sperm allowing reproduction (Fig. 2). Spermatogenesis begins in puberty and continues throughout the adult life. Therefore, the spermatogonia of the male represent the only truly regenerating stem cell population in mammals, and the introduction of DNA into a spermatogonia results in a continuous production of transgenic sperm. All transgenic animals produced by this technique carry the transgene in their germline because the DNA is integrated in the sperm before fertilization. The DNA delivery method described herein is used to transfect the spermatogonia *in vivo*. The approach is also useful to transfect cells *in vitro*. For example, spermatogonia-

- 8 -

derived cells are cultured and transfected *in vitro* to be used as a sources of totipotent nuclei for cloning.

DNA is delivered to a stem cell spermatogonia via the seminiferous tubules using methods known in the art.

5 For example, a small gauge needle is inserted into testicular tissue, and DNA is infused using a small syringe. Alternatively, the testicle is exteriorized, a tube surgically attached to the head of the epididymis, and DNA infused through the tube.

10 The methods of the invention are not limited by any particular formulation of DNA. For example, DNA is naked or complexed with lipids, phospholipids, or other suitable compounds to facilitate DNA uptake by cells (i.e., DEAE-dextran). The DNA contains one or more
15 sequences which target expression to a specific tissue or are non-tissue specific, aid in transgene integration into a chromosome (e.g., viral long terminal repeat (LTR) sequences), or cause the transgene to replicate episomally. Such DNA constructs are made using methods
20 well known in the art of molecular biology. If desired, the DNA is complexed with a lipid or other suitable compounds to facilitate DNA uptake (i.e. DEAE-dextran). A virus capable of infecting mammalian cells is also a useful delivery vehicle.

25 Transfection efficiency is increased by passing an electrical current across the testicle with a device such as a standard human heart defibrillator or an electroejaculator such as that described in U.S. Pat. No. 4,564,024. The efficiency of DNA delivery is assayed by
30 collection of semen from the transformed testicle. DNA is isolated from the testicle (e.g., from semen) using standard methods, and is assayed for presence of the transgene by methods known in the art, e.g., the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with transgene specific
35 primers. If the semen tests positive for the desired

- 9 -

transgene, transgenic animals are produced by breeding the male to females in estrous and allowing the animals to deliver. The progeny are tested for the presence of the transgene by PCR or southern blot analysis.

5 The overall efficiency of the procedure is dependent on the method of gene delivery but can be increased in several ways such as 1) subjecting the testicle to multiple rounds of transfection with the DNA vector, or 2) adding a selectable marker, e.g., an
10 antibiotic resistance gene, to the DNA vector and infusing the testicle with the antibiotic or drug following transfection to select for the transgenic cells.

Example 1: Timing of Testes Transfection

15 Experiments were carried out to determine the optimal age of animal at which *in situ* DNA delivery to the testes is most efficient. As a male animal matures, the testes increase in size. The increase in size corresponds to an increase in the number of target cells
20 and primordial germ cells/spermatogonia. As a result, partial sterilization may be required prior to DNA delivery to mature animals.

 According to the invention, the testes are transfected at an age when the seminiferous tubules are
25 open to allow the DNA access to the spermatogonia but before the testes begin to actively produce sperm by meiosis. Since different species mature at different rates, this age varies among species. The optimal age for any given species is determined using known methods,
30 e.g., monitoring a maturing animal for the onset of sperm production or histologically examining the testes from newborn to adult. Once the preferred age for DNA delivery is determined for a given species, this process need not be repeated for each individual to be treated.

- 10 -

The optimal time for transfecting the testicles of a Yorkshire boar was determined. Development of the testes from birth to over one year of age was monitored by collecting semen and histologically examining fixed
5 tissue sections of testes. A gilt in heat was used to stimulate the boar. Semen was first detected between 4 and 5 months of age. Analysis of the semen revealed that the sperm count was low compared to a fully mature boar. These data indicated that the testes were still
10 undergoing mitosis to increase cell number as well as meiosis to produce sperm.

Gross anatomical examination of the testicles indicated that the testicles increased in size from 5-7 cm to over 14 cm between 5 and 18 months of age. Tissue
15 sections from a newborn (10-30 days old) to an adult (over 12 months) were histologically examined. In the newborn, the testicle was 1-2 cm in length, and the seminiferous tubules were only populated by germ cells. Attempts to infuse the testicles with tracking dye
20 indicated that the seminiferous tubules were not completely open to allow flow of dye throughout the testicle. Histological examination of testicles harvested from boars between 80-100 days of ages indicated that the seminiferous tubules are open and
25 showed an increase in the number of cells (e.g., spermatogonia) lining the tubule. At this age, the seminiferous tubules allowed transport of the tracking dye. These data indicate that DNA can successfully be delivered throughout the testicle via the seminiferous
30 tubules after the age at which the tubules have matured to be open.

In an adult boar, histological examination of tissue sections revealed a hierarchy of cells lining the tubules with motile sperm being produced in the lumen.
35 The seminiferous tubules of the adult animal also

- 11 -

successfully carried tracking dye. However, the larger size of the testicle at the adult stage may reduce efficiency of DNA delivery and transfection. These data indicate that the preferred age for DNA delivery and
5 transfection of the testicle of a Yorkshire boar is between 30 and 100 days of age.

Example 2: Transfection of Testes

The method described below is applicable to all species which reproduce through the production of sperm,
10 for example but not limited to mice, rats, rabbits, pigs, goats, sheep and cows. DNA is delivered to the area surrounding the spermatogonia by utilizing the seminiferous tubules as conduits to transport the genetic material throughout the testes.

15 To increase overall efficiency of DNA delivery, prepubertal animals are used (thereby reducing the number of target cells in the testes to be transfected). Use of non-viral DNA is preferable because this approach removes any size limitation on the vector. The protocol
20 described herein is useful for any DNA formulation (i.e., for either naked DNA or DNA in complex with compounds such as lipids or DEAE-dextran). Alternatively, a virus suitable to infect target cells of the testes (stem cells) is also a useful vehicle to deliver DNA to the
25 target cells.

The resulting phenotype of the animal produced by a transformed sperm is dependent on the type of the DNA introduced and is not dependent on the method being described. Introduction of a vector or transgene
30 containing mammary gland-specific regulatory elements directs expression of the desired protein in the animal's milk whereas a liver-specific promoter would target the blood. For example, the following tissue-specific promoters are used to direct preferential expression of
35 DNA in mammary gland tissue: goat beta casein promoter

- 12 -

(Ebert et al., 1994, Bio/Technology 9:669-702), alpha S1 casein promoter (Meade et al., 1990, Bio/Technology 8:443-446), whey acid protein promoter (Ebert et al., 1991, Bio/Technology 9:835-838), beta lactoglobulin
5 promoter (Wright et al., Bio/Technology 9:830-834). The transcription of a transgene is preferably under the control of a promoter sequence different from the promoter sequence controlling the transcription of the endogenous coding sequence, e.g., a heterologous
10 promoter. A promoter includes a cis-acting DNA sequence which is capable of directing the transcription of a gene in the appropriate tissue environment and in response to physiological regulators. Regardless of the vector or virus system being used, this method provides an
15 efficient method to genetically manipulate the stem cells of the testes to produce transgenic animals.

The methods of the invention are useful to delivery DNA to large mammals, e.g, pigs, goats, cows, and sheep. A prepubetal male pig between 1 and 2 months
20 of age is anesthetized with a mixture of telazol and rompun. Once the pig is under anesthesia, it is placed on a surgical table, and the area around the testes is cleaned and sterilized with alternating washes of betadine and 70% alcohol. The DNA, which has been drawn
25 up into a 3 cc syringe with a 20 gauge needle, is infused into the testes by inserting the needle through the skin and into the organ. As the solution is infused, the needle is slowly pulled out to ensure that the entire testicle is covered. Once the DNA is infused in to the
30 testicle, an electrical current is passed across the testicle with a human heart defibrillator that has been modified to accommodate the size of the organ. Following the transfection, the pig is allowed to recover from anesthesia and housed until it reaches maturity at
35 approximately 5 months of age. Semen is collected from

- 13 -

the pig for analysis. The sperm are recovered from the semen by centrifugation, washed with phosphate buffered saline, and DNA isolated by digestion with protease followed by phenol extraction and ethanol precipitation.

5 The DNA isolated from the sperm is analyzed for the presence of the transgene by the PCR with primers specific for the transgene. Successful "knockout" of DNA sequences is also evaluated using PCR or other techniques known in the art.

10 If the sperm DNA tests positive for the gene of interest, transgenic animals are produced by breeding the pig to sows in heat, using the semen for artificial insemination, or doing *in vitro* fertilization with the sperm. The recipient females are allowed to farrow and
15 the progeny tested for the presence of the transgene (or knockout) with DNA isolated from ear tissue or blood by the polymerase chain reaction.

Other embodiments are within the following claims

- 14 -

What is claimed is:

1. A method of delivering a DNA to a spermatogonium, comprising infusing *in situ* DNA into a testicle of a non-human animal and administering a
5 condition or substance to said testicle to increase uptake of said DNA by said spermatogonium.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein said condition is passage of an electrical current through the testicle.
3. The method of claim 2, wherein said electrical
10 current is applied to said testicle using a defibrillator.
4. The method of claim 2, wherein said electrical current is applied to said testicle using an electroejaculator.
- 15 5. The method of claim 1, wherein said substance is a lipid or a phospholipid.
6. The method of claim 1, wherein said DNA is infused in a volume of at least 0.1 ml per testicle.
7. The method of claim 1, wherein the epididymis
20 is surgically exposed at the head and the DNA is delivered to the testes via a retrograde flush through the rete testes into the seminiferous tubules.
8. The method of claim 1, wherein said DNA is introduced into said spermatogonium by viral infection.

- 15 -

9. The method of claim 1, wherein said non-human animal is selected from the group consisting of a sheep, goat, pig, cow, chicken, rabbit, rat, mouse, and guinea pig.

5 10. The method of claim 9, wherein said non-human animal is a pig.

11. The method of claim 1, wherein said animal is prepubetal.

12. The method of claim 1, wherein said DNA
10 comprises a sequence encoding a selectable marker.

13. The method of claim 12, wherein said selectable marker is selected from the group consisting of antibiotic resistance gene, a cell surface antigen, or thymidine kinase.

15 14. The method of claim 1, wherein DNA is administered to said testicle before the time at which sperm production is detected.

15. The method of claim 9, wherein the age of said pig is at least 30 days.

20 16. The method of claim 15, wherein the age of said pig is not greater than 100 days.

17. The method of claim 1, wherein said DNA is naked.

- 16 -

18. A method of making a non-human transgenic animal comprising infusing *in situ* DNA into a testicle of a prepubetal non-human animal, harvesting sperm cells from said animal, contacting an ovum with said sperm
5 cells under conditions suitable for fertilization to produce said non-human transgenic animal.

19. The method of claim 18, wherein said prepubetal non-human animal is a pig.

20. The method of claim 18, wherein said pig is
10 at least 30 days but not greater than 100 days of age.

1/2




FIG. 1B




FIG. 1A

2

2/2




FIG. 2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US99/02868

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(6) : C12N 15/85, 15/00 US CL : 435/455; 800/21 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC														
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S. : 435/455; 800/21 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) APS, STN														
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT														
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.												
X - Y	Database BIOSIS on STN, Accession Number 1996:163441, OGAWA et al. Gene expression in blastocysts following direct injection of DNA into testis. Journal of Reproduction and Development. Abstract only, 1995, Vol. 41, No. 4, pages 379-382.	1,5,8,12,13 ----- 1-20												
X - Y	KIM et al. The development of the method for sperm-mediated gene transfer in mouse and pig. Theriogenology. 1996, Vol. 45, page 337, entire document.	1,5,9,10 ----- 1-20												
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.														
<table border="0"> <tr> <td>* Special categories of cited documents:</td> <td>*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</td> <td>*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*E* earlier document published on or after the international filing date</td> <td>*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</td> <td>*A* document member of the same patent family</td> </tr> <tr> <td>*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			* Special categories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention	*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone	*E* earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art	*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*A* document member of the same patent family	*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means		*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	
* Special categories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention													
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone													
E earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art													
L document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*A* document member of the same patent family													
O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means														
P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed														
Date of the actual completion of the international search 09 APRIL 1999		Date of mailing of the international search report 06 MAY 1999												
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		Authorized officer RICHARD SCHNIZER Telephone No. (703) 308-0196												

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US99/02868

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X - Y	Database EMBASE, AN 97104566, KIM et al. Development of a positive method for male stem cell-mediated gene transfer in the mouse and pig. Molecular Reproduction and Development. Abstract only, 1997, Vol. 46, No. 4, pages 515-526.	1,5,9,10 ----- 1-20
X - Y	MURAMATSU et al. Foreign gene expression in the mouse testis by localized in vivo gene transfer. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications. 1997, Vol. 233, No. 1, pages 45-49, see abstract; page 46, column 1, paragraph 3; page 47, column 1; Figure 3; Figure 4; and discussion.	1,2,9,17 ----- 1-20
Y	Patent Abstracts of Japan, KOIKE, C., JP 09-220039 A2, 26 August 1997.	1-20
Y	Database BIOSIS, An 1997:87312, MURAMATSU et al. In vivo electroporation: a convenient method for gene transfer to testicular cells in mice. Animal Science and Technology. Abstract only. 1996, Vol. 67, No. 11, pages 975-982.	1-20
Y,P	YAMAZAKI et al. In vivo gene transfer to mouse spermatogenic cells by deoxyribonucleic acid injection into seminiferous tubules and subsequent electroporation. Biology of Reproduction. December 1998, Vol. 59, pages 1439-1444, see e.g. abstract, and methods, in vivo electroporation.	1-17
Y	Database MEDLINE on Dialog, US National Library of Medicine, (Bethesda, MD, USA) No. 79333916, DUTKO et al. Murine cytomegalovirus infects spermatogenic cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. Abstract only. June 1979, Vol. 76. No. 6, pages 2988-2991.	8
A	LAVITRANO et al. Sperm-mediated gene transfer: production of pigs transgenic for human regulator of complement activation. Transplantation Proceedings. 1997, Vol. 29, pages 3508-3509, entire document.	1-20
A	Database BIOTECHDS, AN 96-08282, SPERANDIO et al. Sperm-mediated DNA transfer in bovine and swine species; cattle and pig transgenic animal construction using sperm cell incubated with plasmid pSV2CAT and artificial insemination. Animal Biotechnology. Abstract only. 1996, Vol. 7, No. 1, pages 59-77.	1-20

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US99/02868

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of Item 1 of first sheet)

This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

Please See Extra Sheet.

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☒ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

☐
☐

- The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US99/02868

BOX II. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION WAS LACKING

This ISA found multiple inventions as follows:

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be searched, the appropriate additional search fees must be paid.

Group I, claim(s) 1-17, drawn to a method of delivering DNA to a spermatogonium.

Group II, claim(s) 18-20, drawn to a method of making a non-human transgenic animal.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature of group I is a method of delivering DNA to a spermatogonium. The technical feature of group II is a method of producing a transgenic animal. The method of group I does not require that the sperm subsequently be used in the method of group II. For example, the method of group I could be used to deliver a promoter/reporter construct for the study of tissue-specific promoter activity in spermatogonia.

Thus the special technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features are not completely shared by each of the above indicated groups and do not define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, as a whole, makes over the art.